Abstract 400 Table 2 Baseline, univariate and multivariate associations of autoantibody profiles of ANA-positive (presence of nuclear IIF pattern), ANA-negative (no IIF pattern), and pure cytoplasmic/mitotic (CMP) groups

	ANA+ Mean or % n=1049	ANA- Mean or % n=71	Pure CMP Mean or % n=17	ANA-or Pure CMP Mean or % n=88	Univariate model Odds ratio 95% CI	Primary multivariate model Odds ratio 95% CI	Secondary multivariate model Odds ratio 95% CI
ANA	100.0*	01•	100	19.3*			
DES ANA by HE	1.6	0	0	0			
Anti-dsDNA	40.5*	20,6*	26.7	21.7	0.41 (0.24, 0.70)*		0.53(0.3, 0.94)
Autoantibodies							
Monospecific DFS70	0.8	5.6	5.9	5.7*	7.84 (2.51, 24.5)*	4.23 (1.32, 13.58)*	4.45 (1.37, 14.39)
PCNA	7.3*	1.4*	11.8	3.4	0.45 (0.14, 1.44)		
Ribosomal-P	16.1*	5.6*	11.8	6.8	0.38 (0.16, 0.89)		
Ro52/TRIM21	35.9*	21.1*	23.5	21.6*	0.49(0.29, 0.83)		
SSA/Ro60	47.3*	22.5*	29.4	23.9*	0.35(0.21, 0.58)	0.46(0.27, 0.77)	0.51(0.30, 0.87)
SSB/La	15.9*	5.6*	11.8	6.8*	0.39 (0.17, 0.90)		
Sm	24.7*	5.7*	11.8	6.9*	0.23 (0.10, 0.52)		
U1-RNP	32.4'*	11.3	11,81	0141	0.27(0.14,0.52)	0.36(0.18, 0.73)	0.35(0.17, 0.70)
Antiphospholipid Ab					00000000000		!
Lupus Anticoagulant	20.8*	20.6	6.7	17.9	0.83 (0.46, 1.52)		
Anti-cardiolipin	12.6	11.1	12.5	11.4	0.89(0.44, 1.83)		!
Anti-82glycoprotien1	15.0	15.9	12.5	15.2	1.01 (0.53, 1.92)		

†, *, or in combination: values with the same superscript are significantly different from each other, i.e. † * is different from † and *, but † and * are not. Abbreviations: ANA, anti-nuclear antibody; DFS, dense fine speckled; dsDNA, double stranded DNA; IIF, indirect immunofluorescence; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; RNP, ribonucleoprotein; Sm, Smith (U2-U6 RNP); SSA, Sjögren's syndrome antigen A; SSB, Sjögren's syndrome antigen B; TRIM 21, tripartite motif 21.

402

CLINICAL PICTURE OF LUPUS NEPHRITIS IN PERSIAN PATIENTS WITH SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS (SLE): RESULTS OF A LARGE SURVEY

ST Faezi*. Tehran, Iran

10.1136/lupus-2017-000215.402

Background and aims Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic multisystem disorder. Lupus nephritis (LN) is a common serious complication of SLE. LN needs prolonged care and complex therapeutic modalities.

Objectives The aim of this study was to assess the characteristics of Persian SLE patients with LN(LN subgroup) and SLE subpopulation without LN (non-LN subgroup). Furthermore we studied the association of LN with extrarenal manifestations of SLE.

Methods In this study we assessed 2355 SLE patients of the electronic database of Rheumatology Research Centre (RRC), Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS). The clinical and laboratory data of enrolled patients were retrieved. Chisquare test was used to compare the extrarenal manifestations between LN and non-LN subgroups. Odds ratio (OR) was used to present the strength of associations.

Results LN subgroup included 1604 cases (68.1%), with the mean age at SLE onset of 24.6±12.5 years and female to male ratio of 8.7/1. Class IV nephritis was the most common type of LN (53.1%). Comparison of the extrarenal manifestations revealed significant difference between LN and non-LN subgroups. Major organ involvements including cardiopulmonary, hematologic, musculoskeletal and neuropsychiatric features were significantly more common in LN patients. On the contrary, discoid rash was significantly more common in non-LN subgroup.

Conclusions This study revealed that LN was positively associated with musculoskeletal, mucocutaneous and neuropsychiatric features of SLE.

403

STUDY OF FAMILIAL AGGREGATION OF AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES IN ASIAN INDIAN LUPUS PATIENTS (PROBANDS)

¹A Ganapati*, ²GU Arunachal, ¹S Arya, ³D Shanmugasundaram, ³L Jeyaseelan, ⁴ST Kumar , ²S Danda, ¹D Danda. ¹Christian Medical College — Vellore, Rheumatology, Vellore, India; ²Christian Medical College — Vellore, Medical Genetics, Vellore, India; ³Christian Medical College — Vellore, Biostatistics, Vellore, India; ⁴Christian Medical College — Vellore, Paediatric Rheumatology, Vellore, India

10.1136/lupus-2017-000215.403

Background and aims SLE and other auto-immune diseases (AID) tend to co-aggregate in families, making positive family history a risk factor for SLE. We aimed to calculate familial aggregation of rheumatic AIDs including SLE, in lupus probands and to compare familial and sporadic lupus pro-bands in our cohort.

Methods We studied families of 157 consecutive lupus probands satisfying the 2012 SLICC Classification Criteria in a hospital-based, cross-sectional design, probing for 3 generation pedigree charting, clinical and investigational parameters.

Results Systemic AID was seen in 39 families with a point-prevalence of 24.8% (95% CI 18.1, 31.6) and aggregation relative risk (RR) of λ –2.48. Family history of SLE was seen in 19 families with a point-prevalence of 12.1% (95% CI 7.0, 17.2) and λ –2. Both AID as a whole and lupus alone were seen more commonly with parental consanguinity (p<0.05, Table 1) with no specific inheritance pattern. AID including lupus was seen commonly in 1st degree (64.1%–63.15% respectively) followed by 2nd degree relatives (43.5%–52.6%). Most prevalent co-existent organ-specific AID was auto-immune thyroid disease (AITD) seen in 42 (26.75%) families, which also co-existed in 27 (17.2%) lupus pro-bands. Familial aggregation in lupus pro-bands showed relatively higher percentage of affected males and lesser constitutional features (p<0.05) than sporadic pro-bands (Table 2).

A184 LUPUS 2017;**4**(Suppl 1):A1–A227