

steroid regimens. Those with class IV nephritis (35.3% vs 73%,  $p = 0.015$ ) and hematuria (36% vs 74%  $p < 0.001$ ) were more likely to be treated with IV CTX. Physicians more often reported compliance concerns as a reason for selecting treatment for the CTX group compared to MMF (22% vs 0%,  $p = 0.04$ ). Overall, physicians reported “this is what I or my group always does” as the most common reason for choice of induction agent and steroid regimen. Induction agent use did not differ significantly according to study site. Steroid regimen differed significantly by study site and induction agent. CRR at 6 months was achieved for 56% with MMF and 64% with IV CTX ( $p = 0.6$ ); the study was not powered to evaluate treatment efficacy.

**Conclusions** Class IV nephritis, hematuria and patient adherence influenced selection of induction agent. Steroid regimens differed by study site and induction regimen. To evaluate comparative effectiveness, future larger studies will be needed.

**Acknowledgements** CARRA Registry, Lupus Foundation of America, Arthritis Foundation, Duke Clinical Research Institute. NIH T32GM00756.

CE-32

### THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF INDIVIDUALS NOT FULLY MEETING CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA FOR SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS (SLE): THE GEORGIA LUPUS REGISTRY

S Sam Lim\*, Puja Saxena, Gaobin Bao, Cristina M Drenkard. *Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA*

10.1136/lupus-2016-000179.111

**Background** Identifying individuals as early as possible in the development of an autoimmune disease may lead to important opportunities. This study utilises an established population-based registry to evaluate the burden of individuals who do not meet criteria for SLE but may be at higher risk of being diagnosed later.

**Materials and methods** The Georgia Lupus Registry (GLR) is designed to more accurately estimate the incidence and prevalence of SLE in Atlanta, Georgia. The state allowed investigators and trained abstractors to access protected health information without patient consent. Sources of potential cases included hospitals (20), rheumatologists (35), nephrology groups (10), dermatology groups (20), commercial labs, and population databases. Databases were queried for the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, (ICD-9) code 710.0 (SLE), as well as 695.4 (discoid lupus), 710.8 (other specified connective tissue disease), and 710.9 (unspecified connective tissue disease), as well as serologies and pathology results suggestive of SLE. Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome was searched for if a consistent code was used at a particular facility. Those with less than 4 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for SLE and without a final physician diagnosis of a specific connective tissue disease were analysed. Rates were determined for incidence (2002–2004) and prevalence (2002) and age adjusted using the 2000 US population. Age adjusted estimates and 95% confidence intervals were calculated by the direct method using R (routine ageadjust.direct).

**Results** 220 individuals were prevalent in 2004 with an overall age-adjusted rate of 14.2 per 100,000 person-years. 99 individuals were incident in 2002–04 with a rate of 2.1. Similar to SLE, the highest rates were in women and blacks. The rate ratio of prevalent women to men was 4.9 and was 2.2 in blacks to whites, lower than seen in SLE. (Table 1) The most frequent ACR criteria

manifestations were ANA (56.4% and 57.6% in prevalent and incident individuals, respectively), hematologic disorder (39.1%, 35.4%), and arthritis (30%, 32.3%). There were no statistically significant differences between blacks and whites.

**Abstract CE-32 Table 1** Rates of individuals not fully meeting classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus in Atlanta, Georgia, categorised by race/sex\* (prevalence in 2004, incidence in 2002–04)

Race/Ethnicity, sex	Catchment population (person-years)	No. of cases	Crude rate (95% CI):	Age-adjusted rate (95% CI):
<b>PREVALENCE</b>				
<b>Overall</b>	1610314	220	13.7 (12,15.6)	14.2 (12.5, 16.1)
Women	822408	185	22.5 (19.5,26)	22.5 (19.5, 26)
Men	787906	35	4.4 (3.2,6.2)	4.6 (3.3, 6.3)
<b>Black</b>	783405	131	16.7 (14.1,19.8)	18.2 (15.5,21.5)
Women	418297	114	27.3 (22.7,32.7)	28.4 (23.8, 34)
Men	365108	17	4.7 (2.9,7.5)	5.1 (3.3, 8.1)
<b>White</b>	753526	65	8.6 (6.8,11)	8.3 (6.5, 10.7)
Women	368338	52	14.1 (10.8,18.5)	12.8 (9.6, 17)
Men	385188	13	3.4 (2.5,8)	3.4 (2, 5.9)
<b>INCIDENCE</b>				
<b>Overall</b>	4742264	99	2.1 (1.7,2.5)	2.1 (1.7, 2.6)
Women	2424592	78	3.2 (2.6,4)	3.2 (2.5, 4)
Men	2317672	21	0.9 (0.6,1.4)	1.0 (0.7, 1.5)
<b>Black</b>	2321302	58	2.5 (1.9,3.2)	2.8 (2.2, 3.5)
Women	1239819	47	3.8 (2.9,5)	3.9 (3, 5.2)
Men	1081483	11	1.0 (0.6,1.8)	1.4 (0.9, 2.3)
<b>White</b>	2210389	27	1.2 (0.8,1.8)	1.1 (0.8, 1.7)
Women	1082131	20	1.8 (1.2,2.9)	1.6 (1, 2.6)
Men	1128258	7	0.6 (0.3,1.3)	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)

\* Rates are per 100,000 person-years (95% confidence intervals [95% CIs]).  
\* Age-adjusted rates used the 2000 US population.

**Conclusions** This is the first population-based evaluation of those not fully meeting ACR criteria for SLE in the US. The prevalence and incidence rates were 15% and 30%, respectively, of that which were seen in those validated as having SLE from the same general population. This suggests a significant population at higher risk of being diagnosed with SLE in the future can be identified. Studies are ongoing to determine the outcomes of these patients.

CE-33

### CARDIOVASCULAR EVENTS AMONG US MEDICAID RECIPIENTS (2000–2010) WITH SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS, BY RACE AND ETHNICITY

<sup>1</sup>Medha Barbhaiya\*, <sup>1</sup>Candace H Feldman, <sup>1</sup>Hongshu Guan, <sup>2</sup>Jose A Gómez-Puerta, <sup>3</sup>Sarah Chen, <sup>4</sup>Michael A Fischer, <sup>1</sup>Daniel H Solomon, <sup>5</sup>Brendan Everett, <sup>1</sup>Karen H Costenbader. <sup>1</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Immunology and Allergy, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; <sup>2</sup>Grupo de Inmunología e Inmunogenética, GICIG, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Centre, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; <sup>4</sup>Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; <sup>5</sup>Center for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

10.1136/lupus-2016-000179.112

**Background** Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death among SLE patients, with significantly elevated risks of