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ABSTRACT

Objective Complement plays a major role in SLE. Complement participation has been linked to disease activity and damage. Our objective was to estimate the association of complement behaviour with clinical manifestations, visceral injury and mortality in patients with SLE.

Methods Complement determinations (C3 and C4 levels) were analysed in patients with SLE (fulfilling American College of Rheumatology (ACR) or Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC) criteria) seen at a university hospital between 2000 and 2013. Patients were grouped in those with constant C3 and/or C4 low values (complement group), those with C3 and C4 constant normal values (normal complement group) and those with fluctuant values (periods of normal and periods of low values: fluctuant group). Clinical characteristics and mortality were analysed and compared between groups.

Results 270 patients with SLE were included (242 females, 89.6%), mean age at diagnosis was 34.2 years (SD 15.8). 75 patients had fluctuant levels of complement, 79 patients had persistent low complement levels and 116 had normal complement levels. Lupus glomerulonephritis was more frequent in patients with fluctuant levels (75%, 56% and 49%, respectively, p=0002). The normal complement group had less frequency of haematological involvement and anti-double stranded DNA (dsDNA) antibodies. At the end of the follow-up, 53% of the patients had damage (SLICC/ACR ≥1). In a Cox proportional hazard model age at diagnosis, neurological impairment, thrombocytopenia and corticosteroids were associated with more damage, while hydroxychloroquine was a protective factor. There were no differences between complements groups on accumulated damage. Ten-year survival rate was 93%, 93.5% and 92% for the normal complement group, the persistently low group and the fluctuant group, respectively.

Conclusions Patients with constant normal complement had lower prevalence of haematological involvement and anti-dsDNA, while patients with fluctuant complement had higher renal impairment. Neither the persistent low complement nor the fluctuant complement groups had increased mortality and/or visceral damage.

INTRODUCTION

SLE is a chronic autoimmune disease characterised by a large number of autoantibodies and fluctuating disease activity that results in tissue damage and potentially life-threatening multiorgan failure. The incidence of SLE is variable but generally estimated to be about 1–10 per 100 000 person-years with a prevalence of 20–70 per 100 000 according to the different parts of the world. It is about 10 times more frequent in women.

The complement system is an important effector pathway of innate immunity and plays a major role in SLE. Complement participation may occur in at least two ways. First, deficit of some components has been implicated as a risk factor for disease development. In particular, complete deficiency of classical pathway complement component C1 has been associated with an elevated risk of SLE in up to 90% of individuals with this condition. Other complement components such as C2 and C4 have also been associated. Second, the intense activation of complement has been linked to disease activity and damage to certain organs. Many complement products have been assigned different degrees of clinical value in assessing disease activity, such as serum CH50, levels of C3, C4, C3d, C4d, C3a, C4a, C5b–9, Ba, Bb and urine C3d.

Our objective was to estimate the impact of complement level changes on clinical manifestations, visceral injury and mortality in our patients with SLE, with special interest in patients with continuous low complement levels compared with patients with fluctuating and/or continuous normal levels.

METHODS

We retrospectively included all patients with lupus who were seen at the Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires from year 2000 to 2013. The inclusion criteria were fulfilment of American College of Rheumatology (ACR) or Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC) criteria, 18 years of age or older and at least two C3 and C4 determinations, measured by nephelometry, during
follow-up. Low C3 was defined when serum levels were lower than 83 mg/dL and low C4 when serum levels were lower than 10 mg/dL.

Patients were divided in three groups: those with persistent normal complement levels (all measurements above normal levels), those with persistent low complement levels (all measurements below normal levels) and finally those with fluctuant complement levels (at least one normal measurement and at least one low measurement).

The following outcomes were compared between the three groups: clinical features, damage at the end of follow-up (measured by SLICC/ACR damage index) and mortality.

Renal involvement was defined as renal failure and/or microhematuria and/or proteinuria (>500 mg/24 h) plus biopsy that shows lupus patterns. Neurological involvement was defined as the presence of psychosis, seizures, myelitis, multiple mononeuritis, acute confusional syndrome and peripheral or cranial neuropathy that could not be explained by other reason. Leucopenia, lymphopenia and thrombocytopenia were defined as cell counts <4000 cell/mm$^3$, <1000 cell/mm$^3$ and <100000 cell/mm$^3$, respectively, that could not be explained by other means. Haemolytic anaemia was defined as anaemia with haemolytic parameters (rise of indirect bilirubin and lactate dehydrogenase, and/or haptoglobulin declines) plus positive Coombs test. Drugs (hydroxychloroquine, corticosteroid pulse, corticosteroid, immunosuppressive therapy (cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate, azathioprine) and biological therapy (rituximab and belimumab)) were defined as dichotomy variable (received/nor received) at any time during follow-up.

Statistical analysis was performed with the STATA program V.14.1. Continuous variables were computed as mean and SD or median with IQR, depending on the distribution of the variable. Categorical variables were presented as number and percentage. Analysis of variance test was used to compare continuous variables. $\chi^2$ and Fisher tests were used to compare categorical variables. A Cox proportional hazard model was used to estimate the association between complement level group and mortality or organ damage, adjusting by age, sex, disease duration, organs involvement, haematological involvement, drugs used and anti-dsDNA. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

We included 270 patients, 242 (89.6%) females with a mean age at diagnosis of 34.2 years (SD 15.8) (table 1). One hundred and sixteen patients (43%) had persistently normal complement, 79 patients (29%) had persistently low complement levels and 75 patients (28%) had fluctuant levels. The median of complement determinations throughout the follow-up period was 7 (range:2–23) without differences among complement groups. Only 43 (16%) patients were classified based on only two samples.

Table 1 shows cumulative clinical manifestations in the three groups. The only significant difference were
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renal impairment, more frequent in the fluctuant group, leucopaenia, lymphopaenia and thrombocytopenia and anti-double stranded DNA antibodies (dsDNA), more frequent in the fluctuant and persistently low complement groups.

Regarding damage at the end of the follow-up, 53% of the patients had SLICC/ACR equal to or greater than one. When Cox proportional hazard models were used (table 2) age at diagnosis, neurological impairment, thrombocytopenia and corticosteroids were associated with more damage (HR 1.01; 1.78; 1.79; 2.34, respectively). Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) behaved as a protective variable (HR 0.37; IC 95% 0.21–0.66; p<0.001). None of the complement groups were associated with accumulated damage.

Fourteen (6%) patients died. There were no significant differences on survival between the three complement groups. At 10 years survival was 93%, 93.5% and 92% for the normal complement group, the persistently low group and the fluctuant group, respectively. Six patients died because of infections, three died because of lupus activity and five died from other causes (cancer, unknown). In the fluctuant group almost all causes of mortality were infections, whereas in the persistently low group, they were equally divided between infections and activity and in the normal group, mortality was associated with other causes. There were significant differences in mortality causes between the fluctuant and the normal groups (p=0.008).

We analysed the different variables and their influence on mortality. We found that HCQ was a protective variable (HR 0.18; 95% CI 0.039 to 0.92; p=0.040), while thrombocytopenia (HR 3.2; 95% CI 1.05 to 10.3; p=0.049) and age at diagnosis (HR 1.07; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.1; p<0.001) were associated with increased mortality risk. The presence of anti-dsDNA was not associated with increased mortality (HR: 0.34; 95% 0.09 to 1.23; p=0.100). The different complement groups were not associated with mortality.

**DISCUSSION**

This paper compares the characteristics of lupus patients according to serum complement levels, to ascertain whether differences in these levels may explain different course or clinical characteristics. This approach may be unique in that we divided groups according to normal complement levels, persistently low complement levels and fluctuant complement levels.

There is recognition that complement levels and SLE are intimately associated, but there still is controversy regarding the value of serum C3 and serum C4 as biomarkers of lupus disease activity.9–19 Although we found, as in other reports, that decreased levels of serum C3 and C4 were observed in patients with renal and haematological disease,20 our work revealed that behaviour of complement levels is heterogeneous in SLE.

---

**Table 2** Univariate and multiple Cox regression analysis with damage (SLICC/ACR ≥1) as dependent variable, adjusting by patients’ characteristics and treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate Cox regression</th>
<th>Multiple Cox regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 95% CI p Value</td>
<td>HR 95% CI p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>1.01 (0.99 to 1.02) 0.052</td>
<td>1.01 (1.006 to 1.03) 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.45 (0.89 to 2.35) 0.131</td>
<td>1.3 (0.76 to 2.21) 0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal impairment</td>
<td>1.06 (0.76 to 1.49) 0.695</td>
<td>1.08 (0.73 to 1.59) 0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological impairment</td>
<td>1.57 (1.005 to 2.46) 0.047</td>
<td>1.78 (1.05 to 3.02) 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucopaenia or lymphopaenia</td>
<td>1.003 (0.72 to 1.39) 0.983</td>
<td>0.92 (0.65 to 1.31) 0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>1.46 (1.03 to 2.07) 0.031</td>
<td>1.79 (1.21 to 2.63) 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemolytic anaemia</td>
<td>1.09 (0.55 to 2.15) 0.794</td>
<td>0.97 (0.47 to 2.02) 0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent low complement</td>
<td>1.29 (0.86 to 1.92) 0.204</td>
<td>1.29 (0.83 to 2.01) 0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluctuant complement</td>
<td>0.83 (0.56 to 1.24) 0.384</td>
<td>0.67 (0.42 to 1.05) 0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>0.67 (0.41 to 1.109) 0.121</td>
<td>0.37 (0.21 to 0.66) 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroid pulse</td>
<td>1.17 (0.83 to 1.66) 0.358</td>
<td>1.05 (0.64 to 1.70) 0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>1.6 (0.78 to 3.28) 0.193</td>
<td>2.34 (1.01 to 5.42) 0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressive drugs (cyclophosphamide, mdcyclophosphamide and azathioprine)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.07 to 2.105) 0.018</td>
<td>1.57 (0.99 to 2.48) 0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological therapy (rituximab and belimumab)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.74 to 2.57) 0.301</td>
<td>1.14 (0.59 to 2.18) 0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-dsDNA</td>
<td>1.12 (0.8 to 1.57) 0.5</td>
<td>1.05 (0.74 to 1.50) 0.758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Damage at the end of follow-up occurred in 53% of the patients, and we did not find differences between complement groups. This is similar with the findings of Petri et al., who did not find an association between complement consumption and damage. The strongest predictors of damage in their study appeared to be age and current corticosteroid dose.

Like in other series, the 10-year survival rate was nearly 90%, and according to our results, complement behaviour did not seem to influence survival. It was interesting, however, to note that in the fluctuant complement group almost all deaths were due to infections, in the persistently low group they were equally distributed between infections and disease activity and in the normal group they were all from other causes neither related to infections nor to lupus activity. These findings may suggest that patients with fluctuant and persistently low level complement had more active disease and might have received more immunosuppressive therapy.

We found that HCQ was a protective factor both for mortality and damage, similar to other series. However, thrombocytopenia was a mortality risk factor, in agreement with two large and long-term studies. Other studies, however, have shown no influence of thrombocytopenia on survival. Thrombocytopenia may therefore be debatably regarded as a prognostic indicator of reduced survival. As in other studies older age at diagnosis was a death risk factor.

A limitation of this study is that we did not correlate disease activity with complement levels. Another limitation is that in the persistently low complement group, we did not divide the patients into those who only had low C3 or low C4, so low levels could have been due to congenital complement deficit. However, the proportion of patients with low complement values due to deficit and not to disease activity is low in the literature. Related to drugs use, we did not determinate doses and periods of times because records were incomplete for these data.

In summary, our data suggest that patients with persistently normal levels of complement have lower prevalence of leucopaenia, lymphopaena, thrombocytopenia and antibodies to dsDNA. However, patients with fluctuant complement levels have higher renal impairment. Persistently low complement or fluctuant complement level did not increase visceral damage and/or mortality in these patients.
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