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Abstract 400 Table 2 Baseline, univariate and multivariate associations of autoantibody profiles of ANA-positive (presence of nuclear IIF pattern), ANA-negative (no IIF pattern), and pure cytoplasmic/mitotic (CMP) groups

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANAt } \\ \text { Mean or } \\ \% \\ n=1049 \end{gathered}$ | ANA. <br> Mean <br> or \% <br> $\mathrm{n}=71$ |  | Pure <br> CMP <br> Mean <br> or \% <br> $\mathrm{n}=17$ |  | ANA-or <br> Pure <br> CMP <br> Mean er $\%$ $n=88$ |  | Univariatemodel Odds ratio $95 \%$ CI | Primary multivariate model Oddsratio 95\% CI | Sccondary multivariate model Odds ratio $95 \%$ CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANS | $1090^{*}$ | $0^{*} \cdot$ | i | $100 \%$ | 1 |  | - |  | ! |  |
| DISANAbyIIF - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autoantibodics |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | ) |  | $4.23(1.32,13.58) *: 4.45(1.37,14.39) *$ |  |
| Monospecific DFS70 | 0.8 | 5.6 | i | 5.9 | ! | $5.7 *$ | 1 | $7.84(2.51 .24 .5)^{*}$ |  |  |
| PCNA | $7.3 *$ | $1.4{ }^{*}$ | 1 | 11.8 | 1 | 3.4 | , | $0.45(0.14 .1 .44)$ | 1 |  |
| Ribosomal.P | $16.1^{*}$ | $5.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 11.8 | ! | $6.8{ }^{*}$ | 1 | $0.38(0.16 .0 .89)$ * |  |  |
| Ros2/TRIM21 | 35.9* | $21.1{ }^{\circ}$ | : | 23.5 | : | $21.6{ }^{*}$ | I | $0.49(0.29 .0 .83)$ * | , | ${ }^{1} 0.51(0.30 .0 .87)^{*}$ |
| SSARo60 | 47.3* | $22.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 29.4 | ! | $23.9{ }^{\circ}$ | I | $0.35(0.21 .0 .58)$ * | : $0.46(0.27 .0 .77)^{*}$ |  |
| SSB/La | 15.9* | $5.6{ }^{\circ}$ | I | 11.8 | , | $6.8{ }^{*}$ | I | $0.39(0.17 .0 .90)$ * | ( |  |
| Sm | 24.7* | $5.7{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 11.8 | 1 | $6.9{ }^{\circ}$ | , | $0.23(0.10 .0 .52)$ * | ! |  |
| U1-RNP | $32.4{ }^{*}$ | 11.30 | 1 | 11.8 | 1 | $114^{*}$ |  | $02710.14 .0522^{\circ}$ | -0.36(0.18.0.73)* $0.35(0.170 .70)^{*}$ |  |
| AntiphospholipidAb | : | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | , |  | ) | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |
| LupusAnticoagulant | $20.8{ }^{*}$ | 20.6 | 1 | $6.7{ }^{*}$ | , | 17.9 | I | 0.83 (0.46.1.52) | 1 |  |
| Anti-cardiolipin | 12.6 | 11.1 | 1 | 12.5 | : | 11.4 | I | $0.89(0.44 .1 .83)$ | : |  |
| Anti-32glycoproticn! | 150 | 15.9 | 1 | 125 | 1 | 15.2 | 1 | $1.01(0.53,1.92)$ | 1 |  |

 Abbreviasoos: ANA, anti-noclear amibody, DFS, dense fine spekikd; ds DNA, doulle strandod DNA; IIF, isdirctt immanodooresceoce, RCNA, proliferatingee!t
 motif21.
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Background and aims Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic multisystem disorder. Lupus nephritis (LN) is a common serious complication of SLE. LN needs prolonged care and complex therapeutic modalities.
Objectives The aim of this study was to assess the characteristics of Persian SLE patients with $\mathrm{LN}(\mathrm{LN}$ subgroup) and SLE subpopulation without LN (non-LN subgroup). Furthermore we studied the association of LN with extrarenal manifestations of SLE.
Methods In this study we assessed 2355 SLE patients of the electronic database of Rheumatology Research Centre (RRC), Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS). The clinical and laboratory data of enrolled patients were retrieved. Chisquare test was used to compare the extrarenal manifestations between LN and non-LN subgroups. Odds ratio (OR) was used to present the strength of associations.
Results LN subgroup included 1604 cases (68.1\%), with the mean age at SLE onset of $24.6 \pm 12.5$ years and female to male ratio of $8.7 / 1$. Class IV nephritis was the most common type of $\mathrm{LN}(53.1 \%)$. Comparison of the extrarenal manifestations revealed significant difference between LN and non-LN subgroups. Major organ involvements including cardiopulmonary, hematologic, musculoskeletal and neuropsychiatric features were significantly more common in LN patients. On the contrary, discoid rash was significantly more common in nonLN subgroup.
Conclusions This study revealed that LN was positively associated with musculoskeletal, mucocutaneous and neuropsychiatric features of SLE.
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Background and aims SLE and other auto-immune diseases (AID) tend to co-aggregate in families, making positive family history a risk factor for SLE. We aimed to calculate familial aggregation of rheumatic AIDs including SLE, in lupus probands and to compare familial and sporadic lupus pro-bands in our cohort.
Methods We studied families of 157 consecutive lupus probands satisfying the 2012 SLICC Classification Criteria in a hospital-based, cross-sectional design, probing for 3 generation pedigree charting, clinical and investigational parameters.
Results Systemic AID was seen in 39 families with a pointprevalence of $24.8 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI 18.1, 31.6) and aggregation relative risk (RR) of $\lambda-2.48$. Family history of SLE was seen in 19 families with a point- prevalence of $12.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI 7.0 , 17.2) and $\lambda-2$. Both AID as a whole and lupus alone were seen more commonly with parental consanguinity ( $p<0.05$, Table 1) with no specific inheritance pattern. AID including lupus was seen commonly in $1^{\text {st }}$ degree (64.1\%$63.15 \%$ respectively) followed by $2^{\text {nd }}$ degree relatives ( $43.5 \%-$ $52.6 \%)$. Most prevalent co-existent organ-specific AID was auto-immune thyroid disease (AITD) seen in 42 (26.75\%) families, which also co-existed in 27 (17.2\%) lupus pro-bands. Familial aggregation in lupus pro-bands showed relatively higher percentage of affected males and lesser constitutional features ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) than sporadic pro-bands (Table 2).

