

S1a: When it all begins...

S1A:4 ROBUST STRATIFICATION OF LUPUS BASED ON LONGITUDINAL GENE EXPRESSION DATA AND DISEASE ACTIVITY PATTERNS

¹D Toro Dominguez, ¹P Carmona Saez, ^{1,2}M Alarcon Riquelme. ¹GENYO, PTS, Granada, Spain; ²Unit of Chronic Inflammatory Diseases, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.1

Introduction The heterogeneous clinical presentation of SLE is characterised by the unpredictable appearance of flares and remissions of disease activity associated with organ damage and severe symptomatology. Various attempts to classify lupus clinically have not been successful, still burdened by delayed diagnosis and clinical trial failures. Our aim was to develop and validate a robust method to reproducibly stratify patients with lupus according to longitudinal patterns of disease presentation and gene expression data obtained at several points in time.

Methods We calculated correlations among expression values of each gene and SLEDAI across the different time points for each patient. With these, we constructed a bi-dimensional inter-patient matrix. We developed a new approach to select genes strongly correlated with SLEDAI in absolute values across all patients as best genes to stratify patients and filter out the remaining. Finally, we obtain the stratification groups applying consensus clustering that estimates the probability of a patient to belong to a given cluster by random seed permutation.

Results Longitudinally, lupus patients group into three clusters. The three clusters shared the same mean SLEDAI and had no differences in the clinical parameters comprising the score. Functionally however, the clusters had clearly differentiated gene expression profiles and cellular profiles representing three different mechanisms of disease progression. We tested the stability of the clusters by different validation methods and obtained a high reproducibility and robustness. Our stratification method could be used in the future to establish and re-design lupus clinical trials and treatment, and may be used in any disease with measurable but variable patterns of disease progression. This work has received support from the EU/EFPIA/Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking PRECISESADS grant n°1 15 565.

S1A:5 MOLECULAR STRATIFICATION OF AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES BASED ON EPIGENETIC PROFILES

¹G Barturen, ²M Kerick, ³D Alvarez-Errico, ⁴R Quintares, ¹E Carnero, ⁵D Gemperline, ⁵E Dow, ⁶L Beretta, ⁷JO Pers, ⁷Y Renadineau, ⁸J Frostegard, ⁹M Juarez, ¹Clinical Consortium, ¹Flow Cytometry Group, ¹⁰S Rao, ⁹C Chamberlain, ¹¹J Wojcik, ⁴A Segura, ²J Martin, ³E Ballestar, ¹ME Alarcón-Riquelme. ¹Centro de Genómica e Investigación Oncológica (GENYO), Pfizer-Universidad de Granada-Junta de Andalucía, Granada, Spain; ²Instituto de Biomedicina y Parasitología López Neyra, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Granada, Spain; ³Fundacio Institut d'investigació Biomedica de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain; ⁴Universidad de Granada, Departamento de Química Analítica, Granada, Spain; ⁵Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, USA; ⁶Referral Centre for Systemic Autoimmune Diseases, Fondazione IRCCS Ca Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico di Milano, Milan, Italy; ⁷INSERM ERI29, EA2216, Université de Brest, Labex IGO, CHRU Morvan, Brest, France; ⁸Unit of Chronic Diseases, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden; ⁹UCB, Slough, UK; ¹⁰Genzyme/Sanofi, Boston, USA; ¹¹QuartzBio, Geneva, Switzerland

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.2

Systemic autoimmune diseases (SADs) are a group of chronic inflammatory conditions with autoimmune aetiology and many common clinical features, leading to a difficult diagnosis or deciding the appropriate treatment. Finding new treatments or applying the existing ones in a more effective way is especially hard in SADs due to the heterogeneity of molecular mechanisms within the same disease class. Based on this premise, the first step towards establishing a precision medicine strategy for SADs is to reclassify these conditions at the molecular level, which might result in a more homogenous stratification in terms of pathological molecular pathways.

It is well known that the interplay of DNA methylation patterns and environmental factors, and between these, is determinant in the regulation of the immune system. This, along with the fact that the genetic contribution to disease is dependent on regulatory variants with very small effects, and the low concordance for autoimmunity in monozygotic twins suggests that epigenetic regulation may play an important role in the development of these diseases. Thus, DNA methylation information might be a valuable marker to reclassify the autoimmune disorders molecularly.

We performed an unsupervised clustering analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiling of 437 cases distributed across 7 different clinical entities (rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, systemic sclerosis, primary Sjögren's syndrome, primary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, mixed connective tissue disease and undifferentiated connective tissue disease) and 115 healthy individuals. In this analysis we were able to identify new groups of patients composed of the different clinical diagnoses but with common biological features.

S1A:6 MCTD AND SLE: SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

¹S Reiserer, ^{1,2}Ø Molberg, ²R Gunnarsson, ²K Lerang. ¹Institute of Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway; ²Department of Rheumatology, Oslo, Norway

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.3

Background SLE and MCTD are both chronic immune mediated systemic diseases with similar clinical features. We wanted to compare characteristics and morbidity in addition to mortality prediction models in our large and population based cohorts of SLE and MCTD.

Method 243 SLE patients from the Oslo SLE cohort and 145 patients from the Norwegian MCTD cohort were included in the study. Clinical features were based on questionnaires and medical records in the SLE cohort and examination by protocol in MCTD patients. Vital status at the end of the study was obtained from the National Population Register of Norway. Cox regression analyses were used to find the predictive factors of mortality. Variables at a significant level of P less than 0.25 were considered a candidate in the prediction model by manual backward elimination procedure in addition to known mortality predictors.

Results SLE patients were more often affected by nephritis and leukopenia, while the proportion of Raynaud's phenomenon and Interstitial Lung Disease (ILD) was larger in MCTD (table 1). More males were diagnosed with MCTD. 25 patients died in the SLE cohort after a mean follow-up of 9 (2) years. 26 patients died in the MCTD cohort after a mean (SD) follow-up of 10 (3) years. Predictors of mortality in multivariable analyses were Lupus Nephritis class III to VI, and

having had a myocardial infarct, stroke or Transient Intermittent Attack after adjustments to age, gender and disease duration (table 2). The predictors of mortality in the MCTD cohort were% ILD of Total Lung Volume after age and gender adjustments (table 3). According to the Harrell's C index,

Abstract S1A:6 Table 1 Characteristics in MCTD and SLE patients

	SLE N = 243	MCTD N = 145	P - value
<i>Characteristics</i>			
Age at study inclusion, M(SD)	46 (16)	46 (15)	NS
Age at diagnosis, M (SD)	35 (15)	36 (16)	NS
Male Gender, N (%)	25 (10)	33 (23)	.001 ¹
Disease duration at study inclusion, M(SD)	12 (9)	10 (8)	NS
Deceased, N (%)	25 (10)	26 (18)	.031 ¹
Age at death, M (SD)	69 (14)	68 (15)	NS
<i>Clinical features ever present at inclusion, N (%)</i>			
Malar rash	119 (49)	62 (42)	NS
Arthritis	170 (70)	116 (79)	NS
Pleuritis	51 (21)	21 (14)	NS
Pericarditis	36 (15)	19 (13)	NS
Lupus nephritis ²	47 (27)	4 (3)	<.001 ¹
CNS	18 (7)	11 (8)	NS
Leukopenia	108 (44)	46 (31)	.010 ¹
Thrombocytopenia	48 (20)	19 (13)	NS
Raynaud	91 (37)	145 (99)	<.001 ¹
Alopeci	69 (28)	41 (28)	NS
<i>Complications at inclusion, N (%)</i>			
Myocardial infarct	12 (5)	3 (5)	NS
Cerebral infarct	10 (4)	4 (3)	NS
TIA	5 (2)	1 (1)	NS
Arterial event ³	29 (12)	10 (9)	NS
Venous thrombosis ⁴	20 (8)	7 (5)	NS
Interstitial Lung Disease	3 (1)	52 (35)	<.001 ¹
PAH	1 (<1)	3 (2)	NS

¹ Pearson chi square test. ² Lupus nephritis on biopsy. ³ Myocardial infarct, Cerebrovascular infarct and/or Transient Ischemic Accident and ⁴ Deep Vein Thrombosis and/or Lung Emboli

Abstract S1A:6 Table 2 Mortality prediction in SLE patients (N=243)

Clinical features	Multivariable model		
	HR	95 % CI	P value
Myocardial infarct, Cerebral infarct or TIA	3.58	1.53 – 8.33	.003
Age at study inclusion	1.09	1.06 – 1.06	<.001
Male gender	.41	.15 – 1.14	.087
Disease duration at inclusion	.98	.95 – 1.02	.425
Lupus nephritis class III to VI	3.89	1.09 – 13.93	.037

Abstract S1A:6 Table 3 Mortality prediction in MCTD patients (N=145)

Clinical features	Multivariable model		
	HR	95 % CI	P value
% ILD of TLV	1.07	1.02 – 1.12	.004
Age at study inclusion	1.09	1.06 – 1.13	<.001
Male gender	.45	.18 – 1.15	.094

patient outcomes were accurately predicted by the SLE multivariable model 85% of the time and 84% in the MCTD model.

Conclusions SLE and MCTD are similar in many aspects, but differ in disease manifestations that have an impact on mortality, indicating that different follow-up approaches and management is needed.

S1d: Therapeutic strategies

S1D:4 TESTING DIFFERENT DEFINITIONS OF REMISSION IN A MONOCENTRIC CAUCASIAN COHORT OF SLE PATIENTS

F Saccon, M Zen, M Gatto, M Larosa, L Nalotto, S Bindoli, L Iaccarino, A Doria. *Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine DIMED, University of Padova, Italy*

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.4

Objective To evaluate the prevalence of different definitions of remission and their effect on damage in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).

Design and method We considered 293 caucasian SLE patients followed-up for 7 years (2009–2015): 253 (86.3%) were female, mean ±SD disease duration 11.1±7.8 years. Disease activity was assessed by clinical SLEDAI-2K (c-SLEDAI) and damage by SLICC/ACR Damage Index (SDI). We evaluate the effect of different definitions of remission (c-SLEDAI=0; c-SLEDAI ≤1; c-SLEDAI=0 and prednisone ≤5 mg/day; c-SLEDAI ≤1 and prednisone ≤5 mg/day; c-SLEDAI=0 and PGA <0.5; c-SLEDAI ≤1 and PGA <0.5; c-SLEDAI ≤1 and prednisone ≤5 mg/day and PGA <0.5; c-SLEDAI ≤1 and prednisone ≤5 mg/day and PGA <0.5) and different durations of remission (1, 2, 3, 4, ≥5 consecutive years) on SDI using multiple logistic regression analysis.

Results Frequency of remission achieved during the 7 year follow-up are reported in table 1 according to the different definitions.

The mean increase in SDI and the percentage of patients with increased of SDI from the baseline to the end of follow-up were significantly higher in unremitted and 1 year remitted patients compared with patients with 2-, 3-, 4- and ≥5 year remission, irrespective of the definition of remission. 5 year remitted patients had lower damage compared with 2 year (p<0.01) and 3 year (p<0.01) remitted patients. At multivariate analysis, a remission lasting at least 2 years was an independent predictor of no damage accrual only in the definitions including prednisone intake ≤5 mg/day and/or PGA <0.5 (table 2).