

LO-024 ASSOCIATION BETWEEN SEVERE NON-ADHERENCE TO HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE AND SLE FLARES, DAMAGE, AND MORTALITY IN 660 PATIENTS FROM THE SLICC INCEPTION COHORT

^{1,2}Yann Nguyen, ³Benoît Blanchet, ⁴Murray B Urowitz, ⁵John G Hanly, ⁶Caroline Gordon, ⁷Sang-Cheol Bae, ⁸Juanita Romero-Diaz, ⁹Jorge Sanchez-Guerrero, ¹⁰Ann E Clarke, ¹¹Sasha Bernatsky, ¹²Daniel J Wallace, ¹³David A Isenberg, ¹³Anisur Rahman, ¹⁴Joan T Merrill, ¹⁵Paul R Fortin, ¹⁶Dafna D Gladman, ¹⁷Ian N Bruce, ¹⁸Michelle Petri, ¹⁹Ellen M Ginzler, ²⁰Mary Anne Dooley, ²¹Rosalind Ramsey-Goldman, ²²Susan Manzi, ²³Andreas Jönsen, ²⁴Graciela S Alarcón, ²⁵Ronald F Van Vollenhoven, ²⁶Cynthia Aranow, ¹Véronique Le Guern, ²⁶Meggan Mackay, ²⁷Guillermo Ruiz-Irastorza, ²⁸Sam Lin, ²⁹Murat Inanc, ³⁰Kenneth C Kalunian, ³¹Søren Jacobsen, ³²Christine A Peschken, ³³Diane L Kamen, ³⁴Anca Askanase, ³⁵Jill Buyon, ^{1,2}Nathalie Costedoat-Chalumeau*. ¹National Referral Centre for Rare Autoimmune and Systemic Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, AP-HP Centre – Université Paris Cité – Cochin Hospital, France; ²Unité Inserm 1153, Centre de Recherche en Épidémiologie et Statistiques (CRESS), Université Paris Cité, France; ³Biologie du médicament-Toxicologie, AP-HP Centre – Hôpital Cochin, Université Paris Cité, France; ⁴Lupus Program, Centre for Prognosis Studies in The Rheumatic Disease and Schroeder Arthritis Institute, Krembil Research Institute, Toronto Western Hospital, University of Toronto, Canada; ⁵Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine and Department of Pathology, Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre and Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, Canada; ⁶Rheumatology Research Group, Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK, UK; ⁷Department of Rheumatology, Hanyang University Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases and Hanyang University Institute for Rheumatology, Republic of Korea; ⁸Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición, Mexico City, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición, Mexico City, Mexico; ⁹Mount Sinai Hospital and University Health Network, University of Toronto, Canada; ¹⁰Division of Rheumatology, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada; ¹¹Divisions of Rheumatology and Clinical Epidemiology, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Canada; ¹²Department of Rheumatology, Cedars-Sinai/David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA; ¹³Centre for Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University College London, UK; ¹⁴Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA; ¹⁵Division of Rheumatology, CHU de Québec – Université Laval, Québec City, Canada; ¹⁶Lupus Program, Centre for Prognosis Studies in The Rheumatic Disease and Schroeder Arthritis Institute, Krembil Res, Canada; ¹⁷NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK; ¹⁸Division of Rheumatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA; ¹⁹Department of Medicine, SUNY Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York, USA; ²⁰Thurston Arthritis Research Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ²¹Department of Rheumatology, Northwestern University and Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA; ²²Department of Rheumatology, Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; ²³Department of Rheumatology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; ²⁴Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham Marnix E. Heersink School of Medicine, Birmingham, Alabama, USA; ²⁵Rheumatology and Immunology Center, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands; ²⁶Department of Rheumatology, Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Manhasset, New York, USA; ²⁷Autoimmune Diseases Research Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, BioCruces Health Research Institute, Hospital Universitario Cruces, University of the Basque Country, Spain; ²⁸Division of Rheumatology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, USA; ²⁹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul Medical Faculty, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey; ³⁰Division of Rheumatology, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, USA; ³¹Department of Rheumatology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark; ³²Department of Rheumatology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; ³³Department of Rheumatology, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, USA; ³⁴Department of Rheumatology, Hospital for Joint Diseases, New York University, Seligman Centre for Advanced Therapeutics, New York, USA; ³⁵Department of Rheumatology, New York University School of Medicine, USA

10.1136/lupus-2023-KCR.25

Background Hydroxychloroquine is one of the major treatment of SLE, but its effectiveness is impaired by non-adherence, reported to range from 3% to 85% in SLE patients. Our objective was to assess the associations of severe non-adherence to HCQ, objectively assessed by HCQ serum levels, and risks of SLE flares, damage, and mortality over 5 years of follow-up.

Methods The SLICC Inception Cohort is a multicenter initiative (33 centers; 11 countries). Serum of patients taking HCQ for at least 3 months, sampled at enrolment or during the first-year follow-up visit, were analyzed. Severe non-adherence was defined by a serum HCQ level <106 ng/ml or <53 ng/ml, for daily HCQ doses of 400 or 200 mg/d, respectively. Association with the risk of a flare (defined as a SLEDAI-2K increase ≥ 4 points, initiation of prednisone or immunosuppressive drugs, or new renal involvement) was studied with logistic regression, and association with damage (first SLICC/ACR Damage Index (SDI) increase ≥ 1 point) and mortality were studied with separate Cox proportional hazard models.

Results Of 1849 cohort subjects, 660 patients (88% women) were included. Median [interquartile range] serum HCQ was 388 ng/ml (244–566); 48 patients (7.3%) had severe HCQ non-adherence. No factors were clearly associated with severe non-adherence. Severe non-adherence was independently associated with flare (OR 3.38; 95% CI 1.80–6.42) and of an increase in the SDI within each of the first 3 years (HR 1.92 at 3 years; 95% CI 1.05–3.50). Eleven patients died within 5 years, including 3 with severe non-adherence (HR 5.41; 95% CI 1.43–20.39).

Conclusions Severe non-adherence was independently associated with the risk of an SLE flare in the following year, with early damage and 5-year mortality. Our results suggest the benefits of testing of detecting severe non-adherence and dedicating more resources and more time to these patients, to improve their long-term prognosis.

Concurrent session 7: pregnancy and reproductive issues

LO-025 INCREASING PRE-ECLAMPSIA KNOWLEDGE AND HIGHER PREVALENCE OF ASPIRIN USE IN SLE WITH A SPECIFIC EDUCATIONAL TOOL: INTERIM ANALYSES OF A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

¹Joo-Young (Esther) Lee*, ¹Arielle Mendel, ²Isabelle Malhamé, ¹Sasha Bernatsky, ¹Evelyn Vinet. ¹Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Research Institute of McGill University Health Centre, Canada; ²Department of Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, McGill University Health Centre, Canada

10.1136/lupus-2023-KCR.26

Background Pregnant SLE women are at high risk of pre-eclampsia. Aspirin reduces its risk but is used only in a minority of SLE pregnancies. This randomized controlled trial evaluated a specifically-designed educational tool on pre-eclampsia knowledge, aspirin use and adherence in SLE pregnancies. We present interim results.

Methods We recruited pregnant SLE women up to 16 gestational weeks at 5 Canadian SLICC centres. Participants were randomly assigned to the educational tool (intervention) or standard of care (control). At every pregnancy visit, participants completed pre-eclampsia questionnaire, aspirin survey, and modified Adherence to Refills and Medications Scale (ARMS). We performed Student's t-test and univariate linear regression to assess pre-eclampsia knowledge, and estimated 95% CI for difference in proportion of aspirin users using the Wilson procedure. We evaluated mean ARMS score difference with Student's t-test and Mann-Whitney U test.

Results Thirty-eight women were included, with 20 exposed to the intervention. Baseline characteristics were well-balanced. The difference in mean pre-eclampsia knowledge scores between 1st and 2nd trimester visits in the intervention group was 5.0 points (95% CI 1.4, 8.6) and 1.1 points (95% CI -3.1, 5.4) in the control group when all women were included regardless of fetal loss. The mean difference in scores for those receiving the educational tool was 4.1 points higher (95% CI 0.4, 7.9) than those receiving standard of care. There was a trend of higher aspirin use in the intervention group. Aspirin adherence was high regardless of intervention status.

Conclusions Midway into the trial, pre-eclampsia knowledge improved from 1st to 2nd trimester visits in pregnant SLE women who received the tool compared to those who did not. There was a trend of higher aspirin use in women receiving the educational tool. The trial is well-poised to provide a new evidence-based approach to improve pre-eclampsia knowledge and potentially optimize aspirin use and pregnancy outcomes.

LO-026 HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE USE IN PREGNANT WOMEN WITH SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS AND MAJOR CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS IN THE OFFSPRING

¹Viet Ngoc Nguyen*, ²Elisabet Svenungsson, ¹Annica Dominicus, ¹Karin Hellgren, ^{1,3}Julia F Simard, ¹Elizabeth Arkema. ¹Clinical Epidemiology Division, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ²Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ³Division of Immunology and Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Stanford School of Medicine, Stanford, California, USA

10.1136/lupus-2023-KCR.27

Background Some studies have reported an increased risk of major congenital malformations (MCM) associated with hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), but others find no increased risk. We aim to assess the risk of MCM associated with 1st-trimester HCQ exposure in the offspring of women with lupus.

Methods We conducted a population-based cohort study of pregnancies (2006–2020) with a singleton birth among women with prevalent lupus in Sweden. Prevalent lupus was defined as having \geq two ICD-coded visits in the National Patient Register before pregnancy, with \geq one with a lupus specialist. HCQ exposure was defined as filling \geq one HCQ prescription during the 1st trimester (Prescribed Drug Register). MCM was assessed at birth by any ICD code in the Swedish Medical Birth Register. Inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) was applied to adjust for confounding. Risk ratios and 95% confidence intervals (RR 95%CI) were estimated using modified Poisson regression models with robust variance estimation.

Results We included 407 exposed births and 520 unexposed births. The risks of MCM in the full cohort, the exposed, and the unexposed were 2.3%, 2.7%, and 1.9%, respectively (unadjusted RR 1.42, 95%CI 0.60–3.28). The IPTW-adjusted population achieved a good balance across patient characteristics. The IPTW-adjusted RR was 1.59 (0.67–3.75). The adjusted risk difference was 0.01 (-0.01–0.03). When the

exposure was defined as having \geq one HCQ dispensation from three months preconception to the end of the 1st trimester, the IPTW-adjusted RR was 1.56 (0.69–3.54).

Conclusions Our findings show an increased, but not statistically significant, risk of MCM at birth among births born to women with lupus exposed to HCQ during the 1st trimester compared to those without HCQ exposure. Future studies are warranted and should utilize a longer follow-up for MCM ascertainment (i.e., within one year of birth). For managing lupus during pregnancy, the benefits of HCQ may still outweigh the risks.

LO-027 COVID-19 VACCINE SAFETY DURING PREGNANCY IN WOMEN WITH SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS

¹Nefeli Giannopoulou, ^{2,3,4}Latika Gupta, ^{5,6}Laura Andreoli, ^{5,6}Daniele Lini, ^{7,8}Elena Nikiphorou, ²Rohit Aggarwal, ⁹Vikas Agarwal, ^{10,11}Ioannis Parodis*. ¹General Hospital of Paphos, University of Nicosia, Cyprus; ²Department of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, India; ³Department of Rheumatology, Royal Wolverhampton Hospitals NHS Trust, UK; ⁴Department of Rheumatology, City Hospital, Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust, UK; ⁵Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology Unit, Azienda Socio-Sanitaria Territoriale Spedali Civili, Italy; ⁶Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Italy; ⁷Centre for Rheumatic Diseases, King's College London, UK; ⁸Rheumatology Department, King's College Hospital, UK; ⁹Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, USA; ¹⁰Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet and Karolinska University Hospital, Sweden; ¹¹Department of Rheumatology, Örebro University, Sweden

10.1136/lupus-2023-KCR.28

Background While COVID-19 vaccination has been shown to be safe in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), data on vaccine-associated adverse events (AEs) during the antenatal and lactation period are scarce, justifying the present investigation.

Methods A total of 9201 complete responses were extracted from the COVID-19 Vaccination in Autoimmune Diseases (COVAD) database, a global e-survey involving 157 collaborators from 106 countries. Among respondents, 6787 (73.8%) were women. We identified 70 (1.1%) women who were exposed to at least one COVID-19 vaccine dose during pregnancy, among those 11 with SLE.

Results The age of patients ranged from 28 to 39 years; 5/11 women were of Asian origin. None of these patients reported major vaccine AEs, change in the status of their autoimmune disease, hospitalisation, or special treatment requirement. Six women experienced minor vaccine AEs; two of them had active disease prior to vaccination. Four patients reported COVID-19 infection; two of them while they were pregnant and post-vaccination and two prior to pregnancy and vaccination. All four patients experienced symptoms of their disease, but no overt SLE flare was reported. All patients reported their general health to be good/excellent. Importantly, no adverse pregnancy outcomes were reported. No post-vaccination thrombotic events were recorded. Although minor AEs were common, they did not impair daily functioning, and the symptoms resolved in all patients after a median of 3 (IQR: 2.5–5.0) days.