were consistent with UST safety profile in other studied indications.

Conclusion UST showed efficacy in treatment of active SLE vs PBO and comparable safety warranting further investigation. UST may work via a novel mechanism of action in SLE.

Abstract S7A:8 Table 1 Efficacy and safety results at week 24

	Placebo	Ustekinumab
Patients randomized, n	42	60
Efficacy		
Patients with SRI-4 response, n (%)	13 (31.0)	36 (60.0)
P value		0.0016*
Change from baseline in SLEDAI-2K, median (range)	-2.0 (-30; 10)	-4.0 (-10; 3)
P value		0.0265**
Change from baseline in PGA, median (range)	-1.4 (-5.6; 2.7)	-2.5 (-4.6; 2.8)
P value		0.2114**
Patients with BICLA response, n (%)	14 (33.3)	21 (35.0)
P value		0.9939*
Proportion of BICLA nonresponders with no BILAG worsening, n (%)	11/28 (39.2)	29/29 (74.4)
P value		0.0043
Patients with 50% improvement from baseline joint disease activity [†] , % (95% CI)	63.2 (61.7-64.6)	87.7 (86.8-88.6)
P value		0.0206*
Patients with 50% improvement from baseline CLASI activity score [‡] , % (95% CI)	25.2 (23.1-27.4)	58.7 (57.4-60.1)
P value		0.0422*
Change from baseline in anti-dsDNA (IU/L) [§] , median (range)	-12.6 (-168.8; 233.1)	-30.7 (-2919.6; 132.9)
P value		0.1875*
Change from baseline in Complement C3 (mg/dL) [§] , median (range)	0.15 (-12.4; 21.3)	4.60 (-1.7; 50.3)
P value		0.0846*
Adverse events		
Patients with ≥1 TEAE, n (%)	28 (66.7)	47 (78.3)
Most Common TEAEs, n (%)		
Upper respiratory tract infection	9 (21.4)	5 (8.3)
Urinary tract infection	5 (11.9)	6 (10.0)
Nasopharyngitis	3 (7.1)	6 (10.0)
Headache	5 (11.9)	4 (6.7)
Patients with ≥1 SAE, n (%)	4 (9.5)	5 (8.3)

*Pre-specified analyses, all other analyses shown here were post-hoc.

[†]One-sided test for no difference between two treatment groups based upon a Wilcoxon non-parametric median test for difference of location.

[‡]Patients subpopulation (65% of total population) with at least 4 joints with pain and signs of inflammation at baseline.

[§]Patients subpopulation (58% of total population) with CLASI activity score of at least 4 at baseline.

[¶]Proportions of responders and P values based on a modified intention to treat analysis using a multiple imputation model for missing data from weeks 16 to 24.

^{‡‡}Patients subpopulation (42% of total population) with anti-dsDNA autoantibodies present at baseline.

^{§§}Patients subpopulation (41% of total population) with low Complement C3 levels present at baseline.

^{¶¶}One-sided test for no difference between two treatment groups based upon a Wilcoxon non-parametric median test for difference of location.

^{||}BICLA, BILAG-based Composite Lupus Assessment; BILAG, British Isles Lupus Assessment Group; CLASI, Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus Disease Area and Severity Index; SLEDAI-2K, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000; PGA, physician's global assessment; SRI-4, SRI-4 Response Index; TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event.

S7d – PRO

S7D:4 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE AND REMISSION IN PATIENTS WITH SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS: A LONGITUDINAL COHORT STUDY

MW Tsang-a Sjøe, IE Bultink, M Heslinga, AE Voskuyl. *Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Centre at VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.46

Aim To investigate the relationship between health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and remission as a target in a treat-to-target approach of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) in a longitudinal observational cohort study.

Methods HRQoL was assessed with the physical and mental component score (PCS and MCS, respectively) of the SF-36 questionnaire and adjusted for the Dutch general population (mean 50±10). DORIS remission categories (no remission/remission on therapy/remission off therapy) were applied. Determinants of PCS and MCS were identified with simple linear regression analyses. Association between remission and HRQoL was assessed with General Equation Estimation (GEE) models.

Results Data from 154 patients with 2 years of follow-up were analysed. Patients were mostly female (89%) and Caucasian (69.5%). Remission off therapy was present in 27.3% of patients, 18.1% were in remission on therapy, and 54.5% were not in remission. Mean PCS at baseline was 38.1 (±11.1) and mean MCS was 46.3 (±10.6). Patients in remission (as defined by remission on or off therapy) had higher SF-36 scores in all subdomains compared to patients not in remission. PCS was positively associated with employment and

remission, while negatively associated with ESR, patient global assessment, SLE-damage- index, prednisone use, immunosuppressant use, and body mass index. MCS was positively associated with Caucasian ethnicity and negatively associated with patient global assessment.

PCS at the last visit was higher in patients in remission during 2 years (n=44) compared to patients (n=44) who were never in remission during 2 years of observation (mean 45.9 vs mean 36.8, p<0.001, respectively).

In GEE analysis, a gradual and statistically significant increase of PCS was observed from patients not in remission (mean PCS 36.0) to remission on therapy (41.8) to remission off therapy (44.8). No significant difference in MCS was found between remission states.

Conclusion We show a longitudinal relationship between PCS – but not MCS – and remission, which supports the validity of DORIS remission criteria as a treatment goal in SLE. A lack of association between MCS and remission might be explained by near-normal MCS scores in our cohort. Secondly, non-disease related factors might more importantly influence MCS.

S7D:5 THE DIAGNOSTIC PHASE OF LUPUS – BEING IN A STANDSTILL-OF-LIFE

¹J Lisander Larsen, ²ECC Hall, ¹S Jacobsen, ³R Birkelund. ¹Copenhagen Lupus and Vasculitis Clinic, Copenhagen, Denmark; ²Section of Nursing, Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; ³Institute of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark and Lillebaelt Hospital, Vejle, Denmark

10.1136/lupus-2018-abstract.47

Purpose To investigate the changes in basic life conditions over time from the perspective of female patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (Lupus). This presentation concerns experiences around the diagnostic phase of Lupus.

Method From 2013 to 2015, 43 individual interviews were performed with 15 female patients. Data were analysed according to the methodology of Human Science Phenomenology, which aims at collecting a common meaning-structure of human experiences. By considering basic condition of time, space, body and relationships, deeper knowledge of patient experiences can be reached.

Results Mean age was 45.6 years and mean disease duration 14.8 years. The time to diagnosis after the first symptoms varied from 2–54 months (mean: 21 months, SD: 16 months). The essential experience of going through the diagnostic phase was found to be in a Standstill-in-life constituted by three existential themes:

1. The experience of an altered perception of time and space while being exposed to the many medical examinations and tentative diagnosis situated the patient in a passive stance while waiting for clarification.
2. The acute or changing symptoms made daily life uncertain as the normal bodily reliance changed and interpreted as standing on an uneven ground.
3. Having the final Lupus diagnosis represented a deep existential change in personal relationships with self and others, and marked a substantial turning point in life.

Conclusion The diagnostic phase of Lupus is often protracted over several years. This study shows how going through the diagnostic phase initiates a significant change in the basic life