

Background Lupus is a complex, heterogeneous disease. We have developed a conceptual model to characterize SLE activity using two dimensions: Type 1 SLE includes active inflammatory manifestations of SLE, including arthritis, nephritis, and rashes; Type 2 SLE includes fatigue, myalgia, mood disturbance, and cognitive dysfunction, which can persist in the absence of inflammatory findings. We have grouped SLE patients into phenotypic clusters based on the extent of Type 1 and 2 SLE features.

Methods Consecutive SLE patients meeting ACR or SLICC criteria in a university rheumatology clinic were included. Patients completed the Systemic Lupus Activity Questionnaire (SLAQ) and the ACR Fibromyalgia (FM) Diagnostic Criteria. The Fibromyalgia Severity Score (FSS) is the sum of the widespread pain (0–19) and symptom severity (0–9) scores. The physicians global assessment (PGA) was also recorded. Patients were grouped into clusters based on PGA (Type 1 SLE) and FSS (Type 2 SLE) using hierarchical clustering with Wards minimum variance method. Differences were estimated by ANOVA and Fishers exact test.

Results The 419 SLE patients (92% female; mean age: 45 years) were classified into 7 clusters (figure 1).

Minimal SLE: Clinical Remission (n=85): minimal Type 1 and 2 SLE.

Clinical Remission with Fatigue (n=113): minimal Type 1 and mild Type 2 symptoms of fatigue (70%) and waking unrefreshed (58%).

Predominantly Type 1: Moderate Type 1 SLE (n=56): active Type 1 SLE (43% arthritis, 55% anti-dsDNA+) and minimal Type 2 symptoms.

Severe Type 1 SLE (n=31): severe Type 1 SLE, with active nephritis (39%), arthritis, new rashes, dsDNA+, and low C3/C4, with mild Type 2 symptoms, primarily fatigue (61%).

Predominantly Type 2: Type 2 SLE (n=58): minimal Type 1 SLE and significant Type 2 symptoms including high widespread pain scores, fatigue (97%), waking unrefreshed (86%), depression (67%), cognitive dysfunction (59%).

Mixed SLE: Moderate Mixed SLE (n=52): active Type 1 SLE (69% arthritis, 35% anti-dsDNA+) and active Type 2 SLE, with moderate widespread pain scores, fatigue (90%), waking unrefreshed (96%), forgetfulness (52%), and depression (49%).

Severe Mixed SLE (n=24): active Type 1 SLE (54% arthritis, 25% proteinuria) combined with severe Type 2 SLE, with high widespread pain scores, depression (86%), fatigue (100%), and waking unrefreshed (100%).

Conclusions Patient-reported measures can be identifying distinct clusters of patients with higher and lower levels of Type 1 and 2 SLE features. We have already found this approach useful in direct clinical care and are working to identify immunologic differences between clusters and optimal management protocols.

Funding Source(s): None

289

COMPARISON OF ACR 1982/1997 AND EULAR/ACR CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA FOR SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS IN TWO MULTIETHNIC COHORTS

¹Guillermo J Pons-Estel*, ²Manuel F Ugarte-Gil, ³Guillermina B Harvey, ³Daniel Wojdyla, ⁴Russell Griffin, ⁵Verónica Saurit, ⁶Enrique Soriano, ⁷Eloisa Bonfa, ⁸Loreto Massardo, ⁹Mario H Cardiel, ¹⁰Luis M Vila, ¹¹Graciela S Alarcón, ¹²Bernardo Pons-Estel. ¹Grupo Oroño – Centro Regional de Enfermedades Autoinmunes y Reumáticas (GO-CREAR); ²Universidad Científica del Sur; ³Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Estadística, Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Rosario, Argentina; ⁴Department of Epidemiology, UAB; ⁵Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Privado Universitario de Córdoba; ⁶Sección de Reumatología, Servicio de Clínica Médica, Instituto Universitario, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires; ⁷Rheumatology Division, Faculdade de Medicina, Hospital das Clinicas HCFMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo; ⁸Facultad de Medicina y Ciencia, Universidad San Sebastián; ⁹Centro de Investigación Clínica de Morelia SC; ¹⁰Division of Rheumatology, University of Puerto Rico; ¹¹School of Medicine, The University of Alabama at Birmingham; ¹²Centro Regional del Enfermedades Autoinmunes y Reumáticas (GO-CREAR)

10.1136/lupus-2019-lsm.289

Background Classifying patients as having systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is critical for clinical trials and observational studies; although not designed for this purpose, criteria are also frequently used in clinical practice for early diagnosis. The SLICC 2012 criteria are more sensitive but less specific than the 1982/1997 ACR criteria. The refined 2018 EULAR/ACR criteria differ from the other two sets as they require a positive ANA as the entry point; in addition, the clinical manifestations are clustered into weighted domains with the goal of maximizing the likelihood of an accurate classification of SLE, particularly of early disease.

The objective of the present study was to identify the distinct items of the clinical and immunological domains of the EULAR/ACR SLE classification criteria that differ in the time to criteria fulfillment when compared to the 1982/1997 ACR criteria in two multiethnic lupus cohorts.

Methods Patients from two multiethnic, multicenter cohorts, one from the US and the other from Latin America were included. For these analyses, EULAR/ACR items were evaluated to determine which clinical manifestations and/or laboratory parameters could be of help to achieve an earlier classification of patients. Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square or modified Fisher exact tests, as appropriate. The statistical analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.4.

Results Five-hundred and fifty-eight patients out of 640 from the US cohort and 956 out of 1047 from the Latin America cohort were included. Only 41 (7.3%) and 71 (7.4%) of patients achieved the 2018 EULAR/ACR criteria earlier in the US and Latin American cohorts, respectively. In turn, about one third of the patients in both cohorts achieved them later. Patients who accrued the 2018 EULAR/ACR earlier were more likely to have high anti-dsDNA titers and later earlier classification less likely to have mucocutaneous and joint manifestations; these data are depicted in table 1.

Conclusions When both cohorts were taken into account, those patients who achieved the 2018 EULAR/ACR criteria

Abstract 289 Table 1

<i>ACR/EULAR criteria</i>	<i>At EULAR/ACR classification, % n=558</i>	<i>EULAR/ACR met at the same time, % n=344</i>	<i>EULAR/ACR met earlier, % n=41</i>	<i>EULAR/ACR met later, % n=173</i>	<i>p value*</i>
CLINICAL					
Oral ulcers	30.7	25.3	0.0	48.6	<0.0001
Discoid rash	9.7	8.1	0.0	15.0	0.0027
Malar rash	45.3	38.1	14.6	67.1	<0.0001
Arthritis	66.1	68.3	14.6	74.0	<0.0001
Psychosis	3.1	3.2	0.0	3.5	0.7848
Seizures	5.0	6.4	2.4	2.9	0.1821
Pleurisy	35.8	38.7	22.0	33.5	0.0817
Leukopenia	40.5	40.7	19.5	45.1	0.0093
Thrombocytopenia	12.9	14.2	12.2	10.4	0.4736
Autoimmune hemolysis	7.9	7.0	19.5	6.9	0.0307
Proteinuria	23.5	26.5	31.7	15.6	0.0080
Renal biopsy II or V	8.2	8.1	26.8	4.0	0.0001
Renal biopsy III or IV	4.7	4.1	9.8	4.6	0.2405
IMMUNOLOGIC					
aCL>40	16.9	15.4	12.2	20.8	0.2411
Anti-Smith	30.3	35.8	26.8	20.2	0.0010
Anti-dsDNA	52.1	58.4	53.7	39.3	0.0002

*By Chi square and Fisher's exact as appropriate

<i>ACR/EULAR criteria</i>	<i>At EULAR/ACR classification, % n=956</i>	<i>EULAR/ACR met at the same time, % n=556</i>	<i>EULAR/ACR met earlier, % n=71</i>	<i>EULAR/ACR met later, % n=329</i>	<i>p value*</i>
CLINICAL					
Fever	64.6	64.4	59.2	66.3	0.515
Oral ulcers	45.4	41.6	36.6	53.8	0.001
Alopecia	64.9	65.7	60.6	64.4	0.687
Subacute cutaneous lupus	4.3	5.0	2.8	3.3	0.397
Discoid lupus	11.2	10.6	1.4	14.3	0.006
Acute cutaneous	69.0	65.5	54.9	78.1	<0.0001
Synovitis	84.6	83.1	78.9	88.5	0.039
Psychosis	7.6	6.7	9.9	8.8	0.386
Seizures	10.3	10.1	8.5	10.9	0.802
Pleural or pericardial effusion	31.4	30.9	26.8	33.1	0.543
Pericarditis	16.6	16.6	16.9	16.7	0.996
Leucopenia	56.7	55.8	53.5	59.0	0.554
Thrombocytopenia	24.3	24.1	25.4	24.3	0.973
Autoimmune hemolysis	13.6	16.7	7.0	9.7	0.003
Proteinuria	48.3	47.5	50.7	49.2	0.807
Renal biopsy II or V	7.6	6.5	8.5	9.4	0.270
Renal biopsy III or IV	19.1	19.2	21.1	18.5	0.876
IMMUNOLOGIC					
aCL IgG >40 GPL or or anti-β2 microglobulin >40 or LAC	38.7	38.0	39.4	39.8	0.852
C3 or C4	59.0	59.5	64.8	56.8	0.431
C3 and C4	44.4	46.2	46.5	40.7	0.263
Anti-Smith	26.3	26.4	22.5	26.8	0.756
Anti-dsDNA	67.6	68.7	77.5	63.5	0.051

*By Chi square

earlier had a lower frequency of milder manifestations (like mucocutaneous and articular) and tend to have a higher frequency of anti-dsDNA antibodies, suggesting these criteria could be more useful in subsets of patients with more severe disease.

Funding Source(s): None

290

DEVELOPMENT AND FIRST-IN-HUMAN CHARACTERIZATION OF AN ICOSL AND BAFF BISPECIFIC INHIBITOR AMG 570 FOR SLE TREATMENT

¹Laurence E Cheng*, ¹Hailing Hsu, ²Martin Kankam, ³Nicholas Siebers, ³Randall Stoltz, ¹Lubna Abuqayyas, ¹Bella Ertik, ¹Barbara Sullivan, ¹Lei Zhou, ¹Jane R Parnes. ¹Amgen Inc; ²Vince and Associates Clinical Research; ³Covance

10.1136/lupus-2019-lsm.290

Background Autoimmune diseases, including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), are associated with dysregulated T cell and B cell responses. AMG 570 is a bispecific molecule targeting T cell and B cell activity through inhibition of inducible costimulator ligand (ICOSL) and B cell activating factor (BAFF). We hypothesize that targeting both ICOSL and BAFF will be more effective than single target inhibition in SLE and other autoimmune diseases. We investigated if targeting ICOSL and BAFF has superior efficacy to single target inhibition in mouse arthritis and lupus models. We also investigated the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of AMG 570 in healthy subjects after single subcutaneous doses.

Methods A murine surrogate ICOSL/BAFF bispecific along with single or combination inhibition was evaluated in the mouse collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) and NZB/NZW lupus