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ABSTRACT
We aimed to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies on central nervous system (CNS) infections in patients with SLE, in order to describe their clinical and microbiological characteristics, and outcomes. A systematic search of PubMed/Medline and Embase electronic databases was performed (March 2021) to identify all published studies on CNS infections and their characteristics in patients with SLE. A random-effects model was adopted and findings were reported with 95% CI. Overall, 6 studies involving 17751 patients with SLE and 209 CNS cases with CNS infection were included in our meta-analysis. The frequency rate of CNS infections in patients with SLE was 0.012 (95% CI: 0.008 to 0.018). Meningitis was the most common clinical syndrome (93.5%, n=109/114, 95% CI: 82.6% to 97.8%) and Cryptococcus neoformans (35.9%, n=55, 95% CI: 27.2% to 45.7%) and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (27.1%, n=43, 95% CI: 14.6% to 44.8%) were the most common causative pathogens. Our patient-pool showed a mean SLE Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI) score of 7.9 (95% CI: 6.1 to 9.6), while 92.4% (n=72/76, 95% CI: 83.0% to 96.8%) of cases were on oral systemic corticosteroids, with a prednisone equivalent mean daily dose of 30.9 mg/day (95% CI: 18.0 to 43.7). Our meta-analysis revealed a mortality rate of 29.0% (95% CI: 15.0% to 48.6%). Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for cryptococcal and tuberculosis (TB) meningitis in patients with SLE with suspected CNS infection, particularly in those with higher SLEDAI and on higher doses of systemic corticosteroids. In conclusion, initiation of empiric antituberculous treatment is warranted for patients with SLE who are highly suspected to have CNS TB while awaiting the results of diagnostic tests.

INTRODUCTION
SLE is a complex autoimmune disorder characterised by chronic systemic inflammation that can affect almost any organ. It is associated with a two-fold to six-fold increase in the risk of infection and a 12-fold increase in the rate of hospitalisation due to serious infectious complications compared with the general population. Infections account for 13%–37% of hospitalisations and 15.1%–37.5% of deaths in major SLE cohorts. Patients with SLE have a five times higher risk of death due to infections than the general population. Factors associated with impaired immune response in patients with SLE include T cell and natural killer cell dysfunction as well as complement deficiencies.

Key messages
What is already known about this subject?
► Major infections including those of the central nervous system (CNS) account for significant morbidity and mortality in patients with SLE.

What does this study add?
► Our study provides relevant data for helping clinicians with the diagnostic and treatment approach to CNS infections in patients with SLE.

How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?
► Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for cryptococcal and TB meningitis in patients with SLE with suspected CNS infection, particularly in those with higher SLE Disease Activity Index and on higher doses of systemic corticosteroids.
► Antifungals might be potentially useful empirically in patients with SLE who are suspected to have fungal CNS infections.
► However, with respect to side effects such as toxicity and high cost of antifungals, decision regarding early antifungal therapy should be guided by early and less time-consuming fungal diagnostic tests.
► Empiric antituberculous treatment is warranted for patients with SLE who are highly suspected to have CNS TB while awaiting the results of diagnostic tests.
Furthermore, immune-modulating effects of glucocorticoids, disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, novel biological agents, and other immunosuppressive agents such as cyclophosphamide (CYC), azathioprine (AZA), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and methotrexate (MTX) can lead to a more intense compromise in their immune function.22

Pleuropulmonary, urinary tract and skin and soft tissue infections are the most commonly reported infectious complications in patients with SLE.15–16,25 Central nervous system (CNS) infections, although less frequent, are associated with significant morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised patients with SLE.24 25 They can mimic the symptoms of neuropsychiatric lupus or a lupus flare; however, require an entirely different management.26–28 Early diagnosis and appropriate treatment of patients with SLE with CNS infections are critical and any delays can be associated with a catastrophic outcome.27 28

Information about the clinical characteristics and outcome of CNS infections in patients with SLE are limited. Here, we conducted a descriptive systematic review and meta-analysis on observational studies that investigated CNS infections in patients with SLE, in order to report the frequency rate of CNS infections and their demographic features, clinical and microbiological characteristics and outcomes, such as morbidity and mortality.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Search strategy

This meta-analysis was registered in PROSPERO (UK Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, York, UK, ID number CRD42020204986) and was conducted according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines.29 30 We systematically searched PubMed/ Medline and Embase electronic databases on 1 March 2021. We used “Systemic Lupus Erythematosus” in combination with phrases such as meningitis, Central Nervous System Infection, etc., as Medical Subject Headings and text words, to identify studies on CNS infections in patients with SLE. We imposed no restrictions regarding time of publication, language, country of study or publication type to the initial search. In addition, we manually searched the references of included manuscripts for any potentially eligible articles. Full search strategy is available in online supplemental data S1.

Study selection

We included observational studies that investigated CNS infections and their characteristics in patients with SLE. Two reviewers (KM and FS) independently evaluated the titles and abstracts for eligibility. KM and AB retrieved relevant full-text manuscripts and selected the studies that fulfilled the eligibility criteria. Any discrepancies between results were resolved by consensus among authors. We excluded case reports, review articles, conference abstracts and posters, while eliminating non-English articles. Furthermore, we omitted the abstracts that did not have an obtainable full manuscript and removed the studies that only reported one specific subtype of CNS infections (eg, only hospital-acquired infections, opportunistic infections, etc), populations (eg, only mortality cases, juvenile/childhood-onset subjects with SLE or febrile patients) or pathogens (such as only Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Cryptococcus neoformans, etc). When we came across two studies with partially duplicated data sets, we opted for the study that covered a larger duration and population. Full selection criteria is available in online supplemental data S2.

Two investigators (KM and AB) independently collected information about country and year of publication, SLE population size, study design and duration. In addition, data on frequency and characteristics of the patients with SLE with CNS infections such as gender, age, SLE duration, comorbidities, glucocorticoid use and dose, use of other immunosuppressive agents, clinical syndromes, symptoms on admission, causative pathogens, the interval between the diagnosis of SLE and CNS infection, time interval from the onset of neurological symptoms to the diagnosis of CNS infections, systemic or neurological sequelae, relapse and mortality were recorded, if available in the manuscripts. In order to evaluate disease activity and cumulative damage, we recorded SLE Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI) and Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Damage Index, respectively, if provided in the articles.31 32 Uncertainties were resolved by the help of a third reviewer (FS). Finally, we used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)33 for assessing the methodological quality of the selected observational studies (online supplemental table S1).

Data analysis

Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software was used for meta-analysis. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the Cochran’s Q test and I² statistic. Heterogeneity was considered statistically significant if p value was <0.05 for Cochran’s Q-statistics. I² values of <40% and 40 to 70% showed minimal and moderate heterogeneity, respectively, while a value of >70% indicated substantial heterogeneity. We used the random-effects model with the weighting of the studies. OR, mean difference and standardised mean difference along with 95% CI were applied to the analysis. As the number of available studies were below 10, we did not perform meta-regression analysis due to its low reliability. In order to evaluate publication bias, funnel plots were constructed to visualise possible asymmetry when three or more studies were available.34 However, according to Sterne et al35 when there are fewer than 10 studies in the meta-analysis, test power is usually too low to distinguish chance from real asymmetry or the implications of heterogeneity from publication bias; consequently, no further mathematical tests were performed.
RESULTS

Study characteristics

We identified 3999 citations through the literature search and excluded 3919 irrelevant titles and abstracts after initial screening. After evaluating the full texts of 80 potentially relevant manuscripts for eligibility, 6 articles were included in the meta-analysis36-41 (figure 1). Reference lists of the selected studies were then manually reviewed; however, no additional manuscripts were added to the final analysis. We selected Jiang et al in favour of Xu et al42 due to covering a larger duration and population. Two studies (Yang et al and Jiang et al) acquired a full score on the NOS quality assessment checklist while the others received 6/9, due to not providing a control group for comparing the characteristics of patients with SLE with or without CNS infections. The selected studies were predominantly from Asian regions such as China, Korea, The Philippines and Taiwan, with only one study (Baizabal-Carvallo et al) from northern America (Mexico). All studies have reviewed the patient records/charts of an SLE database/cohort retrospectively for at least 10 years, and five studies were single-centre, whereas only Kim et al had a multicentre database. Overall, the analysis involved 17,751 patients with SLE, who fulfilled the ACR criteria.43 In order to diagnose CNS infections, all studies employed clinical criteria as well as microbiological test results with the help of a multidisciplinary expert team; however, two studies (Hung et al and Yang et al) only reported definite infections where microorganisms were isolated by cultures, stains or PCR, and two studies (Baizabal-Carvallo et al and Kim et al) reported negative or unidentified agents in a separate group called aseptic/non-infectious meningitis, while two studies (Vargas et al and Jiang et al) reported all their data in a single group, namely CNS infections. In addition, cases with neuropsychiatric syndromes, possibly produced by SLE activity which could explain the manifestations, were excluded from the original studies, except for aseptic/non-infectious meningitis.
cases in the study by Kim et al that were excluded from our patient pool (full criteria used by each study for the diagnosis of CNS infection is available in online supplemental data S3). The meta-analysis included 209 SLE cases with CNS infection, with a female:male ratio of 8.5:1 (187 female patients, 89.5%) and a mean age of 32.2 years (95% CI: 29.5 to 35.0, I² 73.6%). The frequency rate of CNS infections in patients with SLE was 0.012 (95% CI: 0.008 to 0.018, I² 84.8%), while mean SLEDAI score was 7.9 (95% CI: 6.1 to 9.6, I² 74.5%). The funnel plot for frequency rate was asymmetric (online supplemental figure S1), probably due to substantial heterogeneity. Details and characteristics of the SLE populations with CNS infection are available in table 1, figure 2 and online supplemental figure S2.

### Clinical syndromes

Five studies reported 109 patients with a primary diagnosis of meningitis (93.5%, n=109/114, 95% CI: 82.6% to 97.8%, I² 30.1%) and 5 cases with primary brain abscess, whereas Jiang et al did not report the clinical syndromes of their patients (table 2 and online supplemental figure S2). In addition, brain CT or MRI detected five additional cases of brain abscess among those with meningitis (10.7%, total of 10/114, 95% CI: 5.8% to 18.8%, I² <0.1%). None of the studies reported clinical syndromes other than meningitis or brain abscess (no cases of encephalitis, subdural or epidural empyema, septic thrombophlebitis, infectious myelitis.

### Table 1 Patient characteristics of the included studies on patients with SLE with CNS infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Study period (years)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age at the time of CNS infection diagnosis (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baizabal-Carvallo et al⁶⁶</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Female (n) Male (n)</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hung et al⁷⁷</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al⁸⁸</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al⁹⁹</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vargas et al⁶⁰</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al⁴¹</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNS, Central nervous system; SLE, Systemic lupus erythematosus; SLEDAI, SLE Disease Activity Index; SLICC/ACR, Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology.

### CNS Infection Frequency Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Event rate</th>
<th>Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
<th>Z-Value</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baizabal-Carvallo</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-18.99</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hung</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-21.470</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-43.67</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-16.032</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vargas</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>-20.967</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-22.967</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Forest plot showing the meta-analysis results of central nervous system (CNS) infection frequency rate in patients with SLE.
Symptoms on admission

The most common symptoms on admission were headache (90.4%, n=192/209, 95% CI: 85.3% to 93.8%, I²<0.1%) and fever (89.0%, n=190/209, 95% CI: 77.9% to 94.9%, I² 62.1%), followed by nausea or vomiting (53.1%, n=47/89, 4 articles, 95% CI: 34.5% to 70.9%, I² 63.5%), meningeal signs (49.4%, n=58/114, 5 articles, 95% CI: 33.0% to 65.9%, I² 65.3%), altered mental status (49.5%, n=92/186, 5 articles, 95% CI: 42.3% to 56.7%, I²<0.1%), seizure (28.4%, n=58/209, 95% CI: 21.8% to 36.0%, I² 15.3%) and focal neurological deficits (19.5%, n=17/89, 4 articles, 95% CI: 12.5% to 29.3%, I²<0.1), including paraparesis, hemiparesis, cranial nerve palsy, diplopia or blurred vision (online supplemental figure S2). Funnel plots are available in online supplemental figure S1.

Medications

Seventy-two out of 76 cases (92.4%, n=72/76, 4 articles, 95% CI: 83.0% to 96.8%, I²<0.1) were taking oral corticosteroids, with a mean daily dose of 30.9 mg/day (4 articles, 95% CI: 18.0 to 43.7, I² 94.3%) prednisone (table 2). All six studies provided a mean daily corticosteroid dose of their subjects; however, two studies did not report the SD of their results and two major studies (Jiang et al and Yang et al) did not report the exact number of cases on corticosteroids and were consequently omitted from the analysis by the software. Other immunomodulators or or encephalomyelitis). Funnel plot showed asymmetry for meningitis cases, however, was symmetrical in case of patients with abscess (online supplemental figure S1).

CNS, central nervous system; FND, focal neurological deficits; N/V, nausea or vomiting.

Table 2 Medications, syndromes, symptoms, pathogens, mortality and morbidities of patients with SLE with CNS infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>CNS infection syndromes (n)</th>
<th>Symptoms on admission (n)</th>
<th>No drugs</th>
<th>Prednisone</th>
<th>Prednisone daily dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Cyclophosphamide</th>
<th>Hydroxychloroquine</th>
<th>Azathioprine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baizabal-Carvallo et al</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hung et al</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vargas et al</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Causative pathogens (n)</th>
<th>Persistent neurological sequelae (n)</th>
<th>Relapse (n)</th>
<th>Mortality (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baizabal-Carvallo et al</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hung et al</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vargas et al</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNS, central nervous system; FND, focal neurological deficits; N/V, nausea or vomiting.
immunosuppressants included CYC (24.5%, n=29/114, 5 articles, 95% CI: 13.2% to 40.9%, I^2 57.3%), hydroxychloroquine (20.4%, n=20/114, 5 articles, 95% CI: 6.8% to 47.3%, I^2 73.8%), AZA (15.3%, n=21/114, 5 articles, 95% CI: 4.8% to 39.5%, I^2 77.6%), MMF (6.9%, n=5/114, 5 articles, 95% CI: 2.9% to 15.3%, I^2 8.7%) and MTX (5.6%, n=4/114, 5 articles, 95% CI: 1.8% to 16.3%, I^2 29.2%). Four of 76 cases (7.6%, n=4/76, 4 articles, 95% CI: 3.2% to 17.0%, I^2 <0.1%) were not taking any immunosuppressive medication, and Jiang et al did not report the exact number of cases on each individual drug (forest plots in online supplemental figure S2 and funnel plots in online supplemental figure S1).

**Pathogens**

Causative pathogens were identified in 158 of 209 patients with CNS infections (these cases will be referred to as definite CNS infections). In addition, three patients reported the co-infection of two simultaneous pathogens. Overall, bacteria were the most common microorganisms found in patients with definite CNS infections (61.3%, n=102/158, 6 articles, 95% CI: 50.0% to 71.6%, I^2 35.8%), followed by fungi (36.4%, n=56/158, 6 articles, 95% CI: 27.9% to 46.0%, I^2 22.6%), viruses (n=2) and parasites (n=1). *C. neoformans* (35.9%, n=55, 6 articles, 95% CI: 27.2% to 45.7%, I^2 25.2%), *M. tuberculosis* (27.1%, n=43, 6 articles, 95% CI: 14.6% to 44.8%, I^2 69.5%), *Listeria monocytogenes* (17.4%, n=24, 6 articles, 95% CI: 10.6% to 27.2%, I^2 30.8%), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (n=6) and *Nocardia asteroides* (n=4) were the most common causative agents (forest plots in online supplemental figure S2 and funnel plots in online supplemental figure S1). The pathogens isolated from cases with brain abscess were *C. neoformans* (n=3/10), *M. tuberculosis* (n=2/10) and *L. monocytogenes*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Corynebacterium bovis-Acinetomyces viscous co-infection and Aspergillus fumigatus*, each in one patient (one case of unidentified pathogen).

Our pooled analysis showed an interval of 52.0 months (n=114, 5 articles, 95% CI: 40.2 to 63.7, I^2 61.2%) between the diagnosis of SLE and CNS infection. Subgroup analysis showed an interval of 36.6 months (n=23, 2 articles, 95% CI: 21.0 to 52.2, I^2 <0.1%) from the diagnosis of SLE to the diagnosis of CNS infection in cryptococcal meningitis (CM), and 47.7 months (n=19, 1 article, 95% CI: 25.0 to 70.4, I^2 <0.1%) in CNS tuberculosis (CNS TB) (figure 3A). This interval was lower in patients with CM compared with all CNS infections (difference in means 15.1, 95% CI: −7.8 to 37.9), although not statistically significant (p=0.196).

The time interval from the onset of symptoms to the diagnosis of CNS infections was 14.2 days (n=89, 4 articles, 95% CI: 11.1 to 17.3, I^2 79.4%) for all CNS infections, 13.9 days (n=23, 2 articles, 95% CI: 9.5 to 18.3, I^2 29.5%) for CM, 24.2 days (n=19, 1 article, 95% CI: 15.8 to 32.7, I^2 <0.1%) for CNS TB and 6.2 days (n=13, 2 articles, 95% CI: 3.5 to 9.0, I^2 87.1%) for other bacterial CNS infections (figure 3B). Our subgroup analysis showed that patients with CM had a higher interval from onset of symptoms to diagnosis of CNS infection compared with other non-TB bacterial CNS infections (difference in means 6.7 days, 95% CI: 0.3 to 13.1, I^2 28.9%).

**Mortality & Morbidity**

Overall, 34 SLE cases with CNS infections died (mortality rate 29.0%, n=34/114, 31 meningitis cases and 3 CNS abscess cases, 5 articles, 95% CI: 15.0% to 48.6%, I^2 69.8%) including 9 patients with CNS TB, 9 patients with CM and 3 patients with *L. monocytogenes*. The aetiological diagnosis was not mentioned in other 13 cases who succumbed to death. Of those who died, CNS infection was complicated by cryptococcaemia in 4 patients, cryptococcaemia with *Salmonella enteritidis B* bacteraemia in one case, and septic shock in two patients (forest plots in online supplemental figure S2 and funnel plots in online supplemental figure S1). Among survivors, 12 cases (13.3%, n=12/76, 4 articles, 95% CI: 3.8% to 37.0%, I^2 64.4) developed persistent neurological sequelae during the follow-up period. The neurological sequelae were: decreased visual acuity (n=4), unilateral abducens nerve palsy (n=4), hypoaucasia (n=1), cognitive impairment (n=1), persistent partial seizures (n=1), residual facial nerve palsy (n=1), hypalgesia on the
left side of the body (n=1) and residual unilateral hearing loss (n=1).

Only two cases (4.7%, n=2/76, 4 articles, 95% CI: 1.5% to 13.7%, I² <0.1%), both diagnosed with CM, experienced a relapse of the CNS infection.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis on CNS infections in patients with SLE. Our results showed a frequency rate of 0.012 (95% CI: 0.008 to 0.018) for CNS infections in patients with SLE. Meningitis was the most common clinical syndrome (93.5%, n=109/114, 95% CI: 0.008 to 0.018) and C. neoformans (35.9%, n=55, 95% CI: 27.2% to 45.7%) and M. tuberculosis (27.1%, n=43, 95% CI: 14.6% to 44.8%) were the most common causative pathogens among patients with definite CNS infection. Although considerable information exists about defect in humoral immunity of patients with SLE, encapsulated bacteria accounted for a minority of SLE cases with CNS infections. Most patients (92.4%, n=72/76, 95% CI: 83.0% to 96.8%) were on oral systemic corticosteroids, with a prednisone equivalent mean daily dose of 30.9 mg/day (95% CI: 18.0 to 43.7). The time interval between the diagnosis of SLE and CNS infection was 52.0 months (95% CI: 40.2 to 63.7) and the interval from onset of neurological symptoms to the diagnosis of CNS infection was 14.2 days (95% CI: 11.1 to 17.3). Headache (90.4%, n=192/209, 95% CI: 85.3% to 93.8%) and fever (89.0%, n=190/209, 95% CI: 77.9% to 94.9%) were the most common presenting symptoms of CNS infection among patients with SLE. Lupus Disease Activity Index indicated a mean SLEDAI score of 7.9 (95% CI: 6.1 to 9.6) in our patient pool. Moreover, our meta-analysis revealed a mortality rate of 29.0% (95% CI: 15.0% to 48.6%), a persistent neurological sequelae rate of 13.3% (95% CI: 3.8% to 37.0%) and a relapse rate of 4.7% (95% CI: 1.5% to 13.7%) in patients with SLE with CNS infection.

According to a 2014 systematic review and meta-analysis, CM accounted for the most common invasive fungal infection (IFI) in SLE cases, constituting 79.9% of fungal CNS infections and 25.8% of all IFIs. Another meta-analysis in 2016 reported an overall pooled prevalence of 0.005 (95% CI: 0.004 to 0.008, high heterogeneity) for CM among patients with SLE. Furthermore, both meta-analyses showed most patients with CM had active SLE at the time of presentation, and those on a higher daily dose of corticosteroids experienced higher mortality rates. In our meta-analysis, patients with CM had a mean SLEDAI of 5.4 (95% CI: 2.9 to 7.9) and a prednisone equivalent mean daily dose of 29.1 mg (95% CI: 22.1 to 36.0), further validating that active disease status and higher corticosteroid doses can result in an increased risk of CM. Despite the importance of considering CM in patients with SLE with suspected CNS infections, the diagnosis of CM is often missed or delayed, and fungal diagnostic tests are not requested on cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) obtained from first lumbar puncture. Our subgroup analysis showed that patients with CM experienced a significant delay in diagnosis compared with other non-TB bacterial CNS infections (difference in means 6.7 days, 95% CI: 0.3 to 13.1, p<0.05). Thus, it is important for physicians to maintain a high index of suspicion for CM in SLE cases with suspected CNS infection, particularly in those with moderate-to-high SLEDAI and those on high doses of systemic corticosteroids.

Although empiric antimicrobial therapy is recommended for CNS infections, empiric antifungal therapy is not a standard of care, thus, delay in treatment of CM occurs frequently until the diagnosis is confirmed. Furthermore, the unaffordability of antifungals in less developed countries further complicates this issue. While antifungals might be potentially useful empirically in patients with SLE who are suspected to have fungal CNS infections, side effects such as toxicity and high cost of antifungals make decision-making regarding empiric antifungal therapy particularly challenging. Therefore, we suggest employing fungal diagnostic tests that are less time-consuming than cultures, such as blood or CSF tests for detecting cryptococcal antigens, on the initial CSF and blood tests of these patients.

M. tuberculosis was the second most common causative pathogen in patients with SLE with CNS infection (27.1%, n=43, 95% CI: 14.6% to 44.8%). It accounted for at least 26.5% of deaths due to CNS infections in patients with SLE. Although increased rates of TB are expected in endemic regions, the prevalence of TB is higher in SLE cohorts than the general population, especially extrapulmonary forms such as meningitis. A recent meta-analysis has reported that SLE cases are at a substantially higher risk of TB compared with the general population (pooled risk ratio=6.11, 95% CI: 3.61 to 10.33, p<0.05). Furthermore, higher cumulative and daily doses of prednisone have been associated with an increased risk of TB in SLE. In fact, corticosteroid use is an independent risk factor for TB-related mortality in patients with SLE. Hydroxychloroquine, on the other hand, has shown some protective effects against major TB infections in patients with SLE. There is evidence that TNF treatment increases the risk of TB reactivation in patients with SLE, and screening for and treating latent TB infection is recommended before anti-TNF treatment. In our meta-analysis, all patients with SLE were taking corticosteroids when diagnosed with CNS TB, but no cases were on anti-TNF treatment. Thus, neurotuberculosis should be considered among the most important causes of CNS infections in patients with SLE, particularly in those on higher doses of systemic corticosteroids or anti-TNFs in TB endemic regions or those with other relevant epidemiological factors (such as history of prior TB, known or possible TB exposure). Given suboptimal sensitivity and specificity of TB diagnostic tests,
definitive diagnosis of CNS TB can be challenging and time consuming.\textsuperscript{64, 65} Empiric antituberculous treatment should not be delayed, given the high mortality and complication rate of untreated CNS TB.\textsuperscript{66, 67} Accordingly, we emphasise on the initiation of empiric antituberculous treatment for patients with SLE who are highly suspected to have CNS TB while awaiting the results of diagnostic tests.

The overall mortality rate of patients with SLE with CNS infection was 29.0\% (95\% CI: 15.0\% to 48.6\%) in our patient pool. CM and CNS TB accounted for more than half of all deaths in patients with SLE with CNS infections. Due to paucity of data in the studies that were included in our meta-analysis, we could not perform subgroup meta-analysis for risk factors of mortality and morbidity. Yang et al identified a higher CSF protein level, a higher mean dose of prednisone received within the last year and a low serum albumin level as predisposing factors for an unfavourable outcome in patients with SLE with CNS infection; defined as death within the first month, vegetative state, conscious but requiring constant supervision, severe or moderate disability, neurological impairment but independent.\textsuperscript{41} Baizabal-Carvallo et al and Hung et al proposed other risk factors for mortality in CNS infection such as septic shock and cryptococcaemia.\textsuperscript{36, 37} Hydroxychloroquine has been reported as the only proven protective asset against infection-related mortality in patients with SLE.\textsuperscript{68, 69} Despite the potential protective effect, almost only one-fourth of patients with SLE with CNS infection in our review were taking hydroxychloroquine (20.4\%, n=20/114, 95\% CI: 6.8\% to 47.3\%). Future research on CNS infections in patients with SLE including cohort studies should focus on identifying risk and protective factors for mortality and morbidities.

Our study had several limitations that should be mentioned. First, the included studies in our meta-analysis were mainly from Asia, therefore, the results may not be representative of patients in other regions and the recommendations may be regional in nature due to differences in comorbidities, access to care and lack of preventative approaches. Furthermore, a suboptimal standard of care in the included studies, such as a low frequency of maintenance treatment with hydroxychloroquine and high daily doses of prednisone, which are not in line with current management recommendations, could have influenced the frequency of CNS infections and the main causative agents. Other treatment strategies might lead to different circumstances regarding frequency and main pathogens. Moreover, we were not able to estimate the relative risk of CNS infections and its associated factors given the paucity of available data, including absence of adequate control groups; hence, we could only report a descriptive analysis. Finally, the included studies used different diagnostic criteria for CNS infections, which resulted in substantial heterogeneity among patients. Even though all six articles had used microbiological tests on all of their patients and included patients who were diagnosed by a multidisciplinary panel of experts based on their clinical manifestations, laboratory tests and response to treatment, the reliability of diagnosis of CNS infection without microbiological confirmation is still debatable, especially considering that reported clinical manifestations of CNS infection and CNS lupus can be similar. Importantly, cases where the aetiological agent could not be identified might lead to bias in estimating the frequency of each pathogen. Consequently, we limited our frequency analysis to cases that had a known positive microbiological result, and reported frequency percentages and proportions accordingly.

In conclusion, meningitis is the most frequent clinical syndrome in patients with SLE with CNS infections. C. neoformans, M. tuberculosis and L. monocytogenes were the most common causative pathogens while encapsulated bacteria accounted for a minority of SLE cases with CNS infections. Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for CM and CNS TB in patients with SLE who present with clinical syndromes suggestive of CNS infection, especially those with moderate-to-high SLEDAI and those on higher doses of systemic corticosteroids. The high frequency of C. neoformans as a causative pathogen of CNS infections in patients with SLE suggests that antifungals might be potentially useful empirically in patients with SLE who are highly suspected to have fungal CNS infections; however, side effects such as toxicity and high cost of antifungals make such decision particularly challenging. Performing diagnostic tests that are less time-consuming than cultures, namely blood or CSF cryptococcal antigen tests could be potentially helpful in decision making regarding empiric therapy. We also suggest initiation of empiric antituberculous treatment for patients with SLE who are highly suspected to have CNS TB while awaiting the results of diagnostic tests. We emphasise on employing treatment of latent TB infection after targeted screening of patients who are starting moderate-to-high doses of prednisone and/or other high-risk immunosuppressants. Additionally, treatment regimens for patients with SLE should become optimised with maintenance therapy with hydroxychloroquine and minimisation of high-dose prednisone.
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